UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/587,619 | 06/05/2000 | James P. Coppola III | TRD-001XX | 8863 |
| 207 7590 08/09/2007 WEINGARTEN, SCHURGIN, GAGNEBIN & LEBOVICI LLP TEN POST OFFICE SQUARE | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | HAVAN, THU THAO | |
| BOSTON, MA 02109 | | - | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3691 | |
| | | | | |
| | | · | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| • | | | 08/09/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Interview Summary | 09/587,619 | COPPOLA, JAMES P. | | | | |
| interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Thu Thao Havan | 3691 | | | | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): | | | | | | |
| (1) <u>Thu Thao Havan</u> . | (3) | | | | | |
| (2) <u>Beverly Hjorth</u> . | (4) | | | | | |
| Date of Interview: <u>01 August 2007</u> . | | | | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant | 2) applicant's representative | <u>.</u> | | | | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☑ No. If Yes, brief description: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: 1. | | | | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: Sandretto (US 5,812,988) and Rotella (US 4,677,933). | | | | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. | | | | | | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed prior art in relation to the claims</u> . <u>The limitation "a portfolio" was particularly discussed during the interview</u> . | | | | | | |
| (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) | | | | | | |
| THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an | Felker | M_{Λ} | | | | |
| Attachment to a signed Office action. | Examiner's sign | ature, if required | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)